



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/659,650	09/12/2000	Hassan Hagirahim	Hagirahim 8-7	6967	
26291	7590 06/18/2004	EXAMINER			
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN L.L.P. 595 SHREWSBURY AVE, STE 100 FIRST FLOOR SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			CHANG, R	CHANG, RICHARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	, >	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	_					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/659,650	HAGIRAHIM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
		Richard Chang	2663				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication od for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	H(S) FROM				
	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d wriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.				
•	Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Statu	ıs						
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 2 September 2000</u> .					
2a	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp	osition of Claims						
4	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Appl	ication Papers						
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10	The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/11/2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
1.1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum		(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·					
	application from the International Bu	•					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ved.				
	nment(s)	, ,	(DTO 440)				
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/659,650

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:
- 2. Reference number <u>100</u> mentioned in the specification is missing in <u>Fig. 1</u>. Reference number <u>300</u> mentioned in the specification is missing in <u>Fig. 3A</u>. Reference number <u>500</u> mentioned in the specification is missing in <u>Fig. 5</u>.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,650

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claim 1-32: Claims 1-32 comprise the limitations as of "receiving voice traffic at a VoIP gateway" and "multiplexing said voice traffic at the said VoIP gateway". The specification and drawing only disclose the steps of "determine whether a destination is serviced by a second VoIP Gateway" (See Fig. 3) and ITU-T H.225 call flow chart for call setup and disconnection (See Fig. 6). The specification and drawing fail to disclose the essential steps and data structure of "receiving voice traffic at a VoIP gateway" and their relation to "multiplexing said voice traffic at the said VoIP gateway". Therefore, the specification fails to teach one skilled in the art how of make and use the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 16 recites the limitation "... of claim 13, said UDP/IP packets..." in line 16, page 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,650

Art Unit: 2663

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2663

rkc

RIC

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(More 1, African